

TELCON NOTE

2 February 1962

SUBJECT: Reemployment of Employee After Optional Retirement

STAT [ ] of the IG staff called to discuss in a hypothetical way the consequences and possibilities of reemploying in a staff capacity a staff employee who had retired under the optional provisions of the retirement act under the provisions of Agency practice encouraging such retirements.

I advised him that I knew of no precedent cases since the current Agency policy had been established but assumed it was theoretically possible. For the purposes of this discussion, it was stated that the employee would have retired following determination by the Deputy Director concerned that his services did not call for an exception and invitation to him to remain and, further, that the case had not been presented to the Retirement Board. Given these facts, I theorized that it would be sufficient for the Deputy Director concerned to state for the record that upon review of the situation following the employee's retirement he now concluded that an exception based on need for his services was now warranted.

STAT I further advised [ ] that I knew of no civil service rule which would preclude reappointment of a retiree under these circumstances, acknowledging however that the record would not be changed, i.e., the retirement would stand and the action reappointing him would be a new and current action. Accordingly, security and medical approvals would be required for the appointment.

STAT We concluded the conversation with the understanding that there would appear to be no insuperable obstacles in reemployment under the conditions stated. I also suggested to [ ] that he might want to talk with [ ] Executive Secretary of the Retirement Board, to develop this matter further. He indicated that at this time he was merely making a ~~xxx~~ preliminary check and did not wish to go into the matter deeply. He did note [ ] name and number for future reference. STAT

STAT Cy to: Chrono [ ] - FYI

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE: , 62
TO: <input type="text"/>		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
JE2075	Rg.	
REMARKS:		
<p>In case the question does come up as a real case, I thought you should know what I had already said. <input type="text"/> preferred at this point <u>not</u> to bring the question to official consideration of the Retirement Board.</p> <p style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;"><i>File Policy</i></p>		
FROM: <input type="text"/>		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION

FORM NO. 241  
1 FEB 55

REPLACES FORM 36-8  
WHICH MAY BE USED.

GPO : 1957-O-439445

(47)